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- Recommends FEMA analyze the potential long-term implications of climate change on the NFIP and report the findings to Congress.
- FEMA should use assessments from CCSP and IPCC
Climate Change Study

- AECOM, in association with Michael Baker Jr., and Deloitte, conducted the study
- Study initiated September 2008
- Study released June 2013 “Divoky Report”
- Climate Change impact on NFIP—aspects investigated:
  - Changes in precipitation patterns
  - Changes in frequency and intensity of coastal storms
  - Changes in sea levels
Why is Climate Change important to NFIP?

- As of October 2013, NFIP currently has:
  - About 5.6 million policies in force
  - $1.3 trillion coverage in force
  - $24 billion debt to U.S. Treasury
What Has FEMA Done in Past to Address Climate Change?

1991: FEMA completed Congressionally mandated study on impact of sea level rise on NFIP

• Study titled: “Projected Impact of Relative Sea Level Rise on the National Flood Insurance Program”
• Mandated by Congress in 1989
• Managed by Mike Buckley & Howard Leikin
• Completed in 1991
• Study used findings from 1990 IPCC report, EPA reports, and other peer-reviewed papers
1991 Sea Level Rise Study

- Examined 3 sea level rise scenarios over period from 1990 to 2100
  - No change
  - One-foot rise over the next century
  - Three-foot rise over the next century
For the 1-foot projection the NFIP would not be significantly impacted for the following reasons:

- New construction in coastal areas often built more than one foot above BFE
- Aspects of flood insurance rate making already account for the possibility of risk
- Insurance rates could be adjusted to reflect new risk information

For the 3-foot projection the incremental increase of the first foot would not be expected until 60 years later, which would allow time for NFIP to consider alternate approaches to loss control, insurance mechanisms.
However the report noted that possibility exists for significant impacts in the long-term, therefore FEMA should:

- Monitor progress in scientific community regarding SLR
- Strengthen efforts to monitor development trends and incentives of the Community Rating System that encourage measures which mitigate the impacts of SLR
What else has FEMA Done in Past to Address Climate Change?

- **Long-term Coastal Erosion**
  - FEMA has long history dealing with long-term coastal erosion issue as it impacts NFIP
  - Long-term coastal erosion is a consequence of sea level rise
  - 1990 NRC recommended long-term erosion mapping, insurance, and land-use requirements should be incorporated into NFIP
  - NRC report stimulated Congressional interest
  - Bills introduced requiring FEMA to consider long-term erosion within NFIP
  - Opposition to these Bills from special interest groups
What else has FEMA Done in Past to Address Climate Change?

- **Long-term Coastal Erosion**
  - Heinz Center conducted a study on the economic impact of erosion on the NFIP
  - Report made two recommendations:
    - Congress should instruct FEMA to modify its insurance rating structure to account for the added risk of long-term coastal erosion
    - Congress should instruct FEMA to modify its insurance rating structure to account for the added risk of long-term coastal erosion.
  - Congress never did act on these recommendations.
  - Under existing authorities that govern NFIP, FEMA increased V Zone rates close to 10-percent maximum most years between 2001 and 2012, and an even higher percentage for 2013.
Objectives of the recent study are to quantify the impacts of climate change, including changes in precipitation patterns, coastal storms, sea level rise, etc. on the:

- Location and extent of the U.S. floodplains
- Relationship between the elevation of insured properties and the 100-year BFEs, and
- Economic structure of the NFIP.

Investigated 90-yr timeframe, with 20-yr intervals

Using probabilistic approach rather than a scenario-based approach
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Objective of riverine portion of analysis:

- develop regression equations that relate flood discharges to watershed characteristics and climate change indicators so that projections can be used to estimate future changes in flood discharges.
Gage Identification

- Identified Urban and Rural Stations – from published USGS reports
  - Quality control resulted in 2357 usable gages
- This data provided DA, SL, ST, IA, and Existing $Q_{10\%}$ and $Q_{1\%}$
Impact of Climate Change Riverine Analysis: Controlling Parameters

- Identify parameters *(climate change indices in red)* that control runoff
  - Drainage Area
  - Average slope of stream
  - Storage capacity
  - Impervious area
  - Mean number of frost days
  - Mean number of consecutive dry days
  - Mean of the maximum 5-day rainfall
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FD</td>
<td>Total number of frost days, defined as the annual total number of days with absolute minimum temperature below 0 deg C</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSL</td>
<td>Growing season length, defined as the length of the period between the first spell of five consecutive days with mean temperature above 5 deg C and the last such spell of the year</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tn90</td>
<td>Warm nights, defined as the percentage of times in the year when minimum temperature is above the 90th percentile of the climatological distribution for that calendar day.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R10</td>
<td>Number of days with precipitation greater than 10mm.</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDD</td>
<td>Maximum number of consecutive dry days.</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5d</td>
<td>Maximum 5-day precipitation total.</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDII</td>
<td>Simple daily intensity index, defined as the annual total precipitation divided by the number of wet days.</td>
<td>mm d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R95T</td>
<td>Fraction of total precipitation due to events exceeding the 95th percentile of the climatological distribution for wet day amounts.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regression Equations

- **Equations for entire U.S.**
  \[
  Q_{10} = 0.1093 \times DA^{0.723} \times SL^{0.158} \times (ST+1)^{-0.339} \times (IA+1)^{0.222} \\
  \times (FD+1)^{-0.044} \times (CDD+1)^{-0.395} \times (R5D+1)^{1.812}
  \]

  - Standard Error: 0.2318 log units or 57.4%
  - \( R^2 = 0.906 \)

  \[
  Q_{100} = 1.321 \times DA^{0.711} \times SL^{0.169} \times (ST+1)^{-0.332} \times (IA+1)^{0.188} \\
  \times (FD+1)^{-0.206} \times (CDD+1)^{-0.177} \times (R5D+1)^{1.440}
  \]

  - Standard Error: 0.2368 log units or 58.8%
  - \( R^2 = 0.898 \)
Monte Carlo Analysis Procedure

- Uncertainty accounted for by sampling from:
  - Multiple models, runs, and scenarios
  - Standard error from the regression equation

Methodology
Impact of Climate Change: Relating H&H Results to Insurance

- Use projected increase in 1% discharge with FIS rating curves to estimate changes in:
  - 1% chance water surface elevation
  - 1% chance water surface top width

- Determine Insurance/Financial Impacts
  - Overlay flood estimates with insurance/demographic data
  - Extend to estimate the national impact

[H&H: Hydrology and Hydraulics; FIS: Flood Insurance Study]
Important Literature: Riverine Analyses

- **Main resources of focus:**
  - IPCC Summary for Policy Makers
    - Excellent overview of climate change
  - Riverine:
    - Alexander et al. (2005) – *Global observed changes in daily climate extremes of temperature and precipitation*
    - Tebaldi et al. (2006) – *Going to Extremes: An Intercomparison of Model-Simulated Historical and Future Changes in Extreme Events*
  - Population:
    - Bengtsson et al. (2006) – *A SRES-based gridded population dataset for 1990-2100*
    - Exum et al. (2006) – *Estimating and Projecting Impervious Cover in the Southeastern United States*
Impact of Climate Change on the NFIP: Coastal Analysis

1. Define Coastal Zones by Flood Source Type
2. Adopt IPCC/CCSP Estimates of Climate Factor Changes
3. Subdivide Zones into Common Areas for Analysis
4. Perform Monte Carlo Flood Response Simulations, considering change in frequency and intensity of coastal storms, and sea levels
5. Determine Insurance/Financial Impacts
Key Coastal Research (besides IPCC and CCSP) Being Used in Study

- **Sea Level Rise/Long-term Coastal Erosion**
  - Hammar-Klose & Thieler (2001) – USGS Coastal Vulnerability Index
  - Martin Vermeer, and Stefan Rahmstorf, 2009: *Global sea level linked to global temperature*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

- **Hurricanes and Tropical Storms**
  - Thomas R. Knutson, et al., 2010: *Tropical cyclones and climate change*, Nature Geoscience
  - Morris A. Bender, et al., 2010: *Modeled Impact of Anthropogenic Warming on the Frequency of Intense Atlantic Hurricanes*, Science

- **Extratropical Storms**
  - Lambert, S. and J.C. Fyfe, 2006: *Changes in winter cyclone frequencies and strengths simulated in enhanced greenhouse gas experiments: Results from the models participating in the IPCC diagnostic exercise*, Climate Dynamics
  - Bengtsson, et al., 2009: *Will Extratropical Storms Intensify in a Warmer Climate?* Journal of Climate
- Vermeer and Rahmstorf (2009): 0.75 to 1.9m for the period 1990 to 2100 (including +/- one σ)
Variability in SLR Predictions

From Nicholls (2011):
“The range of future climate-induced sea-level rise remains highly uncertain…”

Meehl et al: 2007
Rahmstorf: 2007
Vermeer and Rahmstorf: 2009
Sea Level Rise - Regionalization

- 4 Atlantic Coast SLR Regions
- Extratropical Storm Dominated: Region 1
- Tropical Storm Dominated: Regions 2-4
Sea Level Rise - Regionalization

- 3 Gulf Coast Regions
- Tropical Storm Dominated: Regions 5-7
Sea Level Rise - Regionalization

- 3 Pacific Coast Regions
- Mixed Storms: Region 8
- Extratropical Storm Dominated: Regions 9-10
Monte Carlo Simulations

US Coastal Counties

Epochs: yr2020, yr2040, …, yr2100

Emission Scenarios: B1, A1B, A2
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Apply Climate Projections to Existing Curves

Summarize Results (median & other percentiles)
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Sample Result

Change in 100yr Stillwater Elevation (feet; yr2100 – yr2000)

Storm frequency contribution

Global sea level contribution

Storm intensity contribution
Scientific Findings

- **Riverine**: By 2100 the 1% annual chance (100-yr) floodplain depth, and lateral size of riverine SFHAs, is projected to increase, on average, by about 45% across the Nation.
  - About 30% of these increases in floodplain area and flood depth may be attributable to normal population growth, while the remaining portion (70%) represents the influence of climate change.

- **Coastal**: By 2100 coastal SFHAs may increase anywhere from 0% to 55% (depending on type and scale of shore protection measures).

- **Combined Riverine and Coastal**: By 2100 the weighted national average size of SFHAs may increase by about 40% to 45%.

[SFHA – Special Flood Hazard Area]
Changes to Coastal Flood Hazard Areas: Gulf Coast
Changes to Riverine Flood Hazard Areas
Combined Riverine and Coastal: By 2100 the weighted national average size of SFHAs may increase by about 40% to 45%.

By 2100, population within riverine and coastal SFHAs will increase by approximately 130-155%.

Total number of policyholders participating in the NFIP is estimated to increase approximately 80-100% cumulatively through the year 2100.

The Average Premium Per Policy will increase by about 10-70% in today’s dollars, because of the increase in flooding caused by climate change.
Summary and Conclusions

- By 2100 the weighted national average size of riverine and coastal SFHAs may increase by about 40-45%.
- Even if future climate change is minimal, future flooding will increase anyway because: population growth → increase in development → increased impermeability → increased flooding.
- Because of increase in flooding and population, NFIP will continue to grow and by 2100 may insure almost double the number of policyholders as it does today.
- There is a need for FEMA to directly incorporate the effects of climate change into various aspects of the NFIP.
Section 10216:
- Authorizes FEMA to include climate change information when we update FIRMs
- Inclusion of this information on FIRMs will be done in coordination with a Technical Mapping Advisory Council established in Section 100215

Section 100215:
- Establishes TMAC
- TMAC will be comprised of members from Federal, State, Local governments, as well as representatives from various Organizations and Associations
- TMAC will be commenced soon, and will be charged, in part in developing recommendations to FEMA on how to incorporate Climate Change data and information into the NFIP.
Questions?
FEMA Flood Zones

A Zones in Riverine Areas:
Mapped using Riverine Hydrologic and Hydraulic Models

A Zones in Coastal Areas, and V Zones:
Mapped using Storm Surge Analyses or Tide Gage Analyses

V Zones:
- Limit of three ft wave height,
- wave runup,
- inland limit of Primary Frontal Dune,
- wave overtopping splash zone