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Abstract

The quality of data used for regulatory purposes such as establishing wastewater permit discharge limitations and in determining the compliance status of dischargers must meet very high standards due to the legal liability of this data. The quality of trace metal data may be compromised due to contamination of samples during collection, preparation, storage and analysis. The use of clean techniques for sampling and analysis is critical to obtaining representative and accurate data.

To address these issues, an automated sampling system involving the collection of an “intermediate” composite sample was developed. The sample is split into total recoverable and dissolved fractions upon sample completion. Filtering after composite completion reduces sample labor costs, decreases the risk of sample contamination from increased sample handling, and increases the probability of obtaining a representative sample. Though earlier studies demonstrated that dissolved metal concentrations do not change over the 24-hour time period prior to sample filtration, concerns about the accuracy of dissolved data collected in this manner continue to arise. To further address these issues and to test the applicability of the automated composite sampling system to the collection of mercury for EPA 1631, a prior study comparing dissolved metals concentrations in grab and composite samples was expanded to provide a more robust data set and to include mercury analysis. Results demonstrate that automated composite sample concentrations are not significantly different than those obtained by manual grab sampling and that delays of up to 48 hours in filtration/preservation do not significantly affect the sample values.