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Abstract
The TMDL program and a myriad of other state water management programs are dependent on the structure of a state’s water quality standards program including its aquatic life use structure and the monitoring efforts performed to measure attainment or impairment of these aquatic life goals. The consequences of decisions with regard to the robustness of a monitoring program and the choice of aquatic life goals for waters are not always fully appreciated. In this paper we “deconstructed” Ohio’s estimation of impaired waters which is based on tiered aquatic life uses, the use of two organism groups, and a biocriteria-focused decision tree to retrospectively understand the consequences of using a single aquatic life use, a single organism group, and replacement of biocriteria with a focus on chemical surrogates for measuring aquatic life use attainment. When we assumed there was a single aquatic life use for Ohio streams instead of tiered uses only 17.8% of stations now considered to be in a higher tier would be considered impaired, compared to 56.5% of such sites under the existing tiered framework. Conversely, 46% of sites from an existing modified aquatic life use (lower than the interim goal) were considered impaired under a single aquatic life use with vs. about 9% of stations under a tiered use system. When existing water chemistry data alone is used to measure aquatic life impairment over the past 20 years, it overestimated attainment by approximately 30% compared to an existing biocriteria-based aquatic life use estimates during this time period. Similarly, the use of two organism groups documented approximately 20%-30% more impaired sites than the use of a single organism group. This work suggests that a robust monitoring program and well conceived aquatic life uses should be a basic component of any water resource quality effort and the choice of aquatic life uses and monitoring approaches can have important consequences for restoration and protection of aquatic life across the US.