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Abstract
The Chesapeake Bay River Input Monitoring Program is a long term, cooperative monitoring effort supported by State and Federal agencies. Since the mid-1980s, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, Maryland Department of Natural Resources, U.S. Geological Survey, and Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments have monitored water quality at nine River Input stations in nine major tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay watershed. The monitored tributaries represent over 90 percent of the streamflow from the nontidal portion of the Chesapeake Bay watershed and are co-located with USGS stream-gaging stations. The objective of the monitoring program is to monitor concentrations, estimate loads, and calculate trends for nitrogen, phosphorus, and suspended sediment over time. This work provides information to resource managers formulating tributary strategies aimed at Total Maximum Daily Load development for nutrient and sediment control in the Bay. Additionally, watershed modelers use the data for calibrating and validating models.

When monitoring began, cooperating agencies used very similar protocols. Over the years, the protocols diverged as the agencies added or dropped parameters and switched to different sampling and laboratory methodologies. The differences did not significantly affect the site-specific trends, but the absence of certain constituents forced watershed modelers to use calculated values rather than measured values. To generate comparable data and add constituents, several method changes were needed: a) alkaline persulfate digestion instead of Kjeldahl digestion for nitrogen; b) particulate analyses for carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus; and c) transect (equal-width increment) manual sampling instead of single-point automatic sampling.

This presentation will review the challenges facing this multi-purpose, regional monitoring program. It will discuss the process, costs, and benefits of changing an existing monitoring program to accommodate additional objectives. Finally, results from comparability studies conducted by U.S. Geological Survey staff will be used to estimate the biases between methods and their potential effect on future calculations.