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Abstract

In 2002 a workshop was held to develop a framework for a coordinated regional ocean monitoring network for US states and Canadian provinces bordering the northwest Atlantic Ocean. The workshop brought together environmental managers, scientists, and NGO’s to develop a strategy for an ecologically driven regional program and to identify monitoring and research gaps to support the region’s pressing management needs. Discussions focused on nutrient over-enrichment, habitat loss, restoration, and toxic contaminants to address how the monitoring network might be organized; define challenges and benefits of a coordinated regional monitoring network; and how these efforts could be effective in resolving regional environmental issues. The workshop addressed information on ongoing monitoring, regional concerns, and questions that should be answered by a coordinated effort. The conveners developed a follow-up plan to further develop the program, obtain seed money,
and establish critical involvement from key groups. One of the actions was to hold a workshop to determine appropriate indicators for a regional *State of the Environment Report*. The indicators workshop, held in January 2004, reviewed previous indicator efforts, developed lessons learned compendium, identified key issues (fisheries, eutrophication, contaminants, land use, aquatic habitat, and climate change) requiring indicators, and developed key questions and indicators necessary to convey the status of the environment in this region. The process followed for these workshops provides a model for developing regional information to support environmental policy managers and the growing consensus on the need for integration of coastal information at the national scale.
North Carolina’s Discharge Monitoring Coalition Program – NPDES Coalition based instream monitoring: old requirements, new cooperation, better water quality assessment
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Abstract
The North Carolina Division of Water Quality (DWQ) has combined NPDES monitoring requirements with watershed based monitoring to create an effective program for assessing water quality. Permit holders voluntarily develop a monitoring program with the DWQ allowing the coordination of instream monitoring activities to reduce duplication and provide assessment of larger watershed conditions. In exchange for participation in the discharge monitoring coalition, members are exempted from their NPDES permit’s instream monitoring requirements. Data collection, reporting, and laboratory oversight are implemented and managed by the discharger association. All requirements are documented in a Memorandum of Agreement between the Division of Water Quality and the individual permit holders. A single coalition coordinator position within DWQ is able to facilitate the collection of water quality data at over 200 monitoring locations on a monthly basis.

The basic intent of the program is to evaluate the instream impact of member dischargers and produce quality ambient data that is readily available in electronic format. This program is used to evaluate compliance with water quality standards and document water quality changes. The monitoring program is designed to evaluate coalition interests and watershed specific issues. Participation in a discharge monitoring coalition also has the potential to save permit holders money.
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The South Platte River leaves the Rocky Mountains in near pristine condition and immediately flows through a high plains desert eco-region and urban Denver, Colorado. During its journey through the urban corridor it rapidly changes character. It slows, becomes impounded and channeled, and collects natural and anthropogenic pollutants.

In 1998 the South Platte Coalition for Urban River Evaluation (SPCURE) board was formed to study the urban watershed by a group of progressive utilities, communities and industries. State regulatory entities also participated. This group was initially formed to conduct a nitrate TMDL study of the urban South Platte River. The SPCURE board created a Monitoring Committee to coordinate the research effort and develop a sampling plan. The plan involved a "design-on-the-fly" approach. The coordinated monitoring effort evolved as data needs were identified and site-specific studies were implemented.

The data produced by this effort was essential to a TMDL Model. The model resulted in a nitrate mitigation plan initiated by a major point source contributor. The SPCURE success provides an excellent example, and potential model, for other watershed groups in the arid west.

Strategies that contributed to the collaborative success of the SPCURE included:

1. Initial delegation of technical coordination to a separate committee with appropriate responsibilities and authority to make decisions.
2. An emphasis on developing cooperation and collaboration vs. standardization
3. Using resources that are available and augmentation when possible.
4. Scheduling regular meetings and sampling/analyses events.
5. Keeping members informed of progress and maintaining contact.
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The goal of the Watershed 263 project is to prepare a model urban watershed management plan with active community participation and to strategically implement community-based restoration projects to measurably improve storm water quality and community quality of life in a 907-acre storm drain watershed in southwest Baltimore City. Home to 30,000 residents, the watershed is entirely urbanized with mixed industrial, institutional, and residential land uses. This project involves the efforts of several partners from all levels of government, academia, community-based organizations, and the private sector including the Parks & People Foundation, Baltimore City Department of Public Works, and the US Forest Service Research.

The plan process will test the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of various urban water quality restoration techniques to improve water infiltration, primarily focusing on comprehensive community greening on vacant abandoned residential and industrial sites, school grounds, and parks through community stewardship projects. This model plan will be monitored to measure outcomes and document the benefits verse the cost of various restoration techniques.

Sustained success will be dependant on the active participation of the people who live, work, and play in these racially diverse, low income, inner city neighborhoods. A fundamental element of the community-based environmental protection process is for diverse stakeholders to be involved in crafting and implementing a shared vision, goals, priorities, and strategies for sustainable environmental protection. Most importantly, the project will renew human spirits and hope in the neighborhoods within this urban watershed, while achieving environmental improvement such as nutrient and sediment reduction to the Chesapeake Bay.
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The ability to express an idea is as important as the idea itself. Communication to a wide audience (from elected officials to scientists to managers, and to the local citizen) is an integral part of successful environmental protection. However, just because we know it is important doesn’t mean we know HOW to communicate. Developing communication strategies involves setting goals and figuring out how to reach them. When determining how to communicate monitoring results, we need to ask and answer a series of questions, which, taken together, comprise the building blocks of effective outreach:

- What are our objectives?
- Who are our targeted partners and audiences?
- What is the overall message we want to convey?
- What formats are we going to use to convey the message?
- How will we distribute the product?
- How will we evaluate the success of the strategy(s)?

This presentation will provide an overview of these basic building blocks and set the stage for the presentations to follow in this session, Communication with Public Audiences, and the session on Communication Among Monitoring Entities.
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Water is costly to purify and transport, impossible to substitute - and essential to food production, economic development, plant and animal life. In the United States over 250 million people depend on rivers, lakes, streams and ground water supplies for their drinking water. Approximately 179 water bodies are listed on Missouri’s 1998 Final 303 (d) List for Impaired Waters and require immediate restoration to designated uses. Many streams suffer from low water volume, organic enrichment, siltation and polluted runoff. There is need to address surface runoff, groundwater, sediment, in-stream nutrients, wildlife and fish populations from the perspectives of researchers, state and federal conservationists, local citizen-based watershed groups, natural resource interest groups, landowners, farmers, young children plus local officials.

The Internet is increasingly playing a vital role by providing access to watershed information. MoWIN proposed to develop and disseminate interactive watershed information web sites for use in schools (grades 4-8) in five Missouri watersheds. Web site topics include history, agricultural activities and statistics, human impact on the environment, recreational resources, non-point source pollution and prevention, plant and animal life plus water quality information. This project is an additional tool for educators to improve science education library collections and integrate watershed education with science, social studies and other subjects and to help increase children’s awareness of local community natural resources. Objectives include: providing information to encourage participation in watershed stewardship; increasing knowledge and understanding about watersheds and facilitating development of skills to identify and prevent nonpoint source pollution. For additional information please visit http://outreach.missouri.edu/mowin
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Abstract
The Department of the Environment and the British Columbia Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection opened a portal for obtaining regional water quality data and information. The website provides information on the federal-provincial long term water quality monitoring network, as well as primer information on both the British Columbia and Canadian Water Quality Guidelines. The site also enables users to download and graph integrated water quality and water quantity data with user selectable guidelines.

For a decade, federal-provincial water quality data have been primarily used by government for decisions on watershed and water resource planning initiatives, formulation of guidelines and objectives, impact and trend assessments, state of environment reporting, loadings, detecting emerging issues and evaluating the effectiveness of policies and programs affecting watershed uses. The launch of a water quality website marks the first occasion where the general public will have timely access to federal-provincial water quality data, reports and program information. The recent development of web services enables our regional partners and interested community groups to interact and communicate within our website for data and information on demand.

Can this approach make a difference? Definitely! Through the use of the website, we are able to reach a broader and more diverse audience, while providing them with regional data and awareness of both regional and national water quality issues and concerns.
IOWATER Snapshots Provide Picture of Water Quality Statewide
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Abstract
IOWATER, Iowa’s volunteer water monitoring program, has been successful in coordinating 21 snapshot sampling events in various counties and watersheds across Iowa. In order to provide these “snapshots” of water quality in time, multiple sites throughout a county or watershed are sampled within a short period of time. These monitoring partnerships between volunteers and state/county/local officials have been extremely beneficial. On National Monitoring Day in October 2002, IOWATER attempted its first statewide snapshot sampling event. National Monitoring Day provided an opportunity for IOWATER monitors across Iowa to collect data from their monitoring sites using IOWATER methods and submit their data to the IOWATER online database (www.iowater.net). National Monitoring Day was the springboard for subsequent statewide snapshot events in Iowa, with a second event held May 2003 and a third held in conjunction with International Monitoring Day on October 18, 2003. Data submitted by IOWATER monitors as part of these snapshot events are summarized in a report sent to all participants and made available on the IOWATER website. Because of growing interest in the statewide snapshot samplings, IOWATER continues to sponsor events in the spring, summer, and fall of each year as an event in which IOWATER volunteers can participate. With each statewide snapshot, a steady increase in the number of participants and the number of sites sampled has been observed. The number of sites monitored has grown from 68 sites sampled in October 2002 to more than 140 sites in October 2003. Results from the statewide snapshots will be presented.
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A long-term field study was initiated in 1996 to evaluate the response of a 100 m reach of a tributary of Proctor Creek, a 3.1 km² watershed in the Atlanta metropolitan area, to the impact of rapid urbanization in the watershed over time and in comparison to a reach of a physically comparable but almost fully developed urban watershed. The objectives of the study are to measure, evaluate, and compare long-term changes in channel cross-sections, bank and channel scouring, streambed composition, longitudinal reach profiles, plan-form dimensions, biological habitats and communities, water chemistry, and land cover to determine the timing and response of the stream to urbanization within the watershed and in comparison to the almost fully developed urban watershed. Since the onset of physical observations in 1996, when increased sedimentation deposition was the most evident indication of increased upstream development in the study reach, there has been an 18 to 25% increase in impervious cover from 1995 to 1999, a decline in macroinvertebrate taxa richness and disappearance of sensitive species since 1995, increased sinuosity, increased mean cross-sectional area, extensive bank undercutting and cantilever failure in the lower portion of the reach, scouring and undercutting of an outside bank and downstream migration of a cobble deposit in the middle section, scouring of root-armored banks in the upper portion of the reach, and extensive silt and sediment deposition over the entire reach. Since 1990, a composite index of water quality measurements has decreased; measures of conductivity, total suspended solids, and turbidity have increased, and oxidized nitrogen and dissolved oxygen saturation have decreased. The presentation will more fully describe and evaluate those changes and compare them to one another and measurements for the developed watershed.
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Abstract
Water quality in mountain streams of the southern Appalachians varies seasonally and with storms. In an effort to validate Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for sediment in the Chattooga River Watershed (NE Georgia, NW South Carolina, and SW North Carolina), we studied four tributary streams over an eighteen-month period. Two of the streams had completely forested watersheds; one stream was a benchmark with exceptional water quality for the purposes of TMDL establishment while the other was impaired by excessive sedimentation. The third stream, with a completely forested watershed, was adjacent to a gravel road. This stream was threatened by excessive sedimentation. The fourth, while mostly forested, had residential development and gravel roads in its riparian corridor. This stream was also threatened by excessive sedimentation. We measured stream flow continuously and sampled Total Suspended Solids (TSS) to characterize the hydrology and water quality of these streams during baseflow and storm flow conditions. TSS data on the benchmark stream and a forested stream exhibited strong hysteresis and were elevated on the rising limbs of hydrographs and declined rapidly on the recession limbs. While there was weak hysteresis apparent in the constituent concentrations and loadings of the impaired streams, it was not statistically significant. Thus, we could not simply characterize loadings with typical constituent vs. discharge rating curves. We filtered TSS and discharge data into rising and recession limb data based upon hydrograph slope and analyzed the data separately. We constructed a series of rating curves based upon hydrograph thresholds that allow us to predict loadings as a function of hydrograph dynamics. This modified approach facilitates the establishment of TMDLs because the hydrograph threshold-rating curves can be used to directly link loading rates to discharge frequency and duration relationships.
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Abstract
The success of a TMDL determination is relied on the use of good science in linking pollutant sources with water quality. Many times TMDLs are developed using limited amount of data that does not provide detailed information on what are the trends and problems in the waterbody. It is paramount to collect adequate information to parameterize the current loadings, the resultant water quality to applied sophisticated mathematical models.

This paper suggests methodologies that should be used in the development of TMDLs where social and economic consequences can be high. The case study presented here is for the Neuse River Estuary, where a TMDL for total nitrogen was developed and approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The Neuse River Basin is 179 miles long with a maximum width of 51 miles (3,640,353 acres). The Neuse River Basin has a very diverse landuse distribution that ranges from urban (Raleigh/Durham metropolitan area) to agricultural and livestock.

The State of North Carolina realized that a TMDL developed for total nitrogen could have an impact on the municipalities and business located within the basin. The State committed to the development and implementation of monitoring plan that could be used to characterize the loadings to the estuarine portion of the watershed. Furthermore, State collected other information such as: salinity, temperature, dissolved oxygen, nutrient data, water surface elevation data that could be used in the application of hydrodynamic and water quality model. It is because of this commitment to gather adequate information, informed and reasonable decisions could be made in the development of the TMDL.

A summary of the monitoring objectives, review of station locations and summary of the collected data will be given. Finally, a review of how this monitoring leads to the development of a successful hydrodynamic and water quality model.
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Abstract
The Florida Department of Environmental Protection is developing a comprehensive water quality data integration and management plan for its TMDL program. The overall goal of the plan is to provide an efficient data flow for TMDL program data providers that will result in much faster input, improved quality and more efficient analysis of water quality data, faster impaired waters listings and strategic monitoring planning. In short, it will solve the current problems that are associated with having a fragmented, non-integrated data system.

Some of the points to be included in the presentation are:

- How stakeholder data is used in the TMDL program
- Implementing QA data elements and use of a new software product to check the quality of laboratory analytical data from stakeholders
- Using ruggedized field PCs to automate field data collection
- Joining field data with lab data into a common repository
- Using web-based tools for water quality data checking, viewing, downloading and mapping
- Using the National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) as the basis for TMDL assessment units

A new comprehensive database is being designed and built to replace STORET as the single repository for all water quality data used in the TMDL program. Problems with STORET will be discussed.
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Abstract
As the use of the new EPA STORET database is adopted around the county, difficulties have been identified by many smaller organizations as they prepare to load their data into the new system. For many of these organizations, such as tribes, volunteer monitoring groups, municipalities, and superfund sites, the overhead of setting up the required Oracle infrastructure can be cost prohibitive. This overhead includes maintaining PCs capable of running Oracle, the Oracle license fees, and access to a Database Administrator to set up and maintain STORET.

To address this problem for the EPA Region VIII tribes, Gold Systems established a program to remotely host STORET for each of the tribes. This program includes the installation of STORET on the Gold Systems’ database servers, the development of WebSIM, a Web-Based import module that allows users to load data into their remotely hosted copy of STORET, and the deployment of SIM-DW, a data warehouse that provides convenient, secure access to their STORET data. Additionally, each participating tribe can load their data into a regional copy of the national STORET Warehouse, which provides additional retrieval capabilities. A secure website was created to provide a single point of entry from which authorized users can access each of these applications, participant contact information, and project documentation, as well as news on project-related events.

In this presentation we will discuss the unique challenges we faced when working with the Region VIII Tribes regarding Data Management, Infrastructure, DBA Support, Security, Reporting and Cost. We will provide a demonstration of the WebSIM solution and, finally, discuss how it addressed each of these issues.
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Abstract
A data quality management (DQM) system consisting of an array of forms, spreadsheet templates, and guidance documents, has been implemented for collection and streamlined processing of monitoring data. The DQM system provides for the primary data management functions of documentation and quality assurance in a way that allows generation and reporting of reliable, defensible, and usable data of known quality. This paper focuses on field measurements and describes the major phases of the process. Data generation and processing at the Project level include field measurements and associated documentation, error calculation, data verification and validation, and assignment of qualifiers to each measurement Result. Data then “move” to the next phase, which includes selection of information fields to export, application of a crosswalk for conversion into a format appropriate for a given central database, and export into that central database. The steps of uploading data into the new STORET (the USEPA national database) via the STORET Interface Module (SIM) demonstrate this process. Finally, data storage and retrieval options in STORET enable retrieval of Results together with information about their accuracy and their precision.
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Abstract
The Illinois State Water Survey (ISWS) has implemented an integrated functional information system known as GWInfo. GWInfo has joined several stand-alone systems, resulting in improved data usability and availability. The ISWS maintains groundwater-related databases to provide basic information to the general public and to support applied groundwater research activities. Data include groundwater quality, public/industrial/commercial and private well properties, aquifer test, annual withdrawal, water level, and synoptic measurements. These data, which span more than 100 years, were formerly stored in separate databases, independent of each other. Each functional area had its own system for managing the data and was disconnected from other functional areas within the organization.

GWInfo crosses functional lines by joining stand-alone systems. This is accomplished by using an MSSQL database with a .Net application interface. Centrally located databases dissolve many of the barriers related to disjointed data. The current version of GWInfo allows an ISWS scientist to view, report, download, or chart data for a well or an area, for a variety of data. This powerful application allows users to create datasets in minutes that previously would have taken hours or even days to produce. In addition, because of the system architecture and the addition of spatial location information for all data, these data are being integrated into ArcSDE and ArcIMS so that public information can be shared dynamically over the web and in GIS.
Designing a complex multi-objective water quality monitoring network: the New York City water supply example

David G. Smith and Richard E. Corradi

New York City Department of Environmental Protection, 465 Columbus Avenue, Valhalla, NY 10595
dsmith@dep.nyc.gov

Biographical Sketch of Author
David G. Smith is Section Chief, Information Management and Reporting, for New York City's Bureau of Water Supply. His background in the design of water quality monitoring networks began with the design of New Zealand's national network for rivers and lakes in 1989. Subsequently he successfully managed that network and produced several papers on the topic including its design, status interpretation, sampling and analytical requirements for trend detection, and trend detection itself. He used this experience to lead the redesign of the complex monitoring network for New York City's drinking water supply. He also has numerous publications in the field of human perception of natural waters and has co-authored a book on optical water quality.

Abstract
The design of a water quality monitoring network with many stakeholders and multiple objectives can be daunting. The starting point, and key to successful design, is the derivation of an appropriate set of objectives that are based on stakeholders’ requirements. These objectives should be defined as precisely as possible, although this step may not be easy. Next, based on the requirements of each objective, the field sampling (including frequency) and measurement, and the laboratory analytical requirements are rigorously specified. The setting up of a database and reporting requirements also require addressing. Many of these requirements have been discussed in the literature but the starting position, the derivation of objectives, has received little attention. This paper describes this process in the way it led to the design of the New York City water supply system monitoring network. It also describes some aspects of this network, which are a consequence of, and highly dependent on, each independent objective. The Hydrology monitoring Program will be discussed as an example of the processes involved, especially the derivation of the objectives.
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Abstract
Water quality monitoring at the three river-based West Virginia units of the National Park system has evolved as we attempt to develop meaningful information that is useful to management, park neighbors, the visiting public, commercial river outfitters, and other interested agency, organizational, and individual stakeholders. Initial efforts focused on describing water quality issues in New River Gorge National River (and later Bluestone National Scenic River and Gauley River National Recreation Area as they were established). This led to a focus on monitoring fecal coliform bacteria as an indicator of sewage pollution. Inadequate sewage treatment is a widespread problem in rural areas like Appalachia, and may pose a significant health risk to park employees and visitors. Being both proactive and responsive, the program has had changes in monitoring sites and frequency, methods, and quality assurance and quality control efforts. With all of these changes, we have strived to maintain the basic focus of the monitoring program and to ensure continuity of data. To expand the basic program, special studies examined hydrologic and storm event influences on fecal coliform dynamics. Data management and presentation has evolved to respond to requests from outside agencies and user groups. Results from other water quality monitoring programs led to examination of other constituents (e.g. pathogenic organisms, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons). New techniques like bacteria source tracking are leading to further refinement of our knowledge of water quality in the three parks. A comprehensive technical evaluation of our water quality monitoring program is scheduled for 2005.
Abstract #72

How Data Rich and Information Poor is Monitoring?
Analyzing the Dutch National Monitoring

Jos G. Timmerman

International Water Assessment Centre (IWAC) / RIZA, P.O. Box 17, NL-8200 AA Lelystad, Netherlands

Biographical Sketch of Author
Jos Timmerman is programme manager and interim director for the International Water Assessment Centre, a collaborating center under the UNECE Water Convention, and programme manager at the Institute for Inland Water Management and Waste Water Treatment (RIZA). He is specialized in strategies for water quality monitoring and assessment with emphasis on the specification of information needs.

Abstract
Water quality management arose around 1850, by which time environmental conditions became truly intolerable as a result of industrialization. Nevertheless, regular water quality monitoring was not established before the 1950's in the USA, the former USSR and in some European countries and extended to Canada and most of Western Europe in the late 1960's and 1970's. As it appears, every now and then new problems become manifest (like in the 1990's oestrogenous substances, tributyltins, and drugs). For management of each of the different problems, additional monitoring effort is required, leading to an ever growing monitoring need. As a result of the ever-new arising problems, the number of regulatory water quality descriptors in industrialized countries is commonly exceeding one hundred. Inevitably, this has lead to an explosive growth of monitoring networks in many countries.

The growing information needs have consequences for monitoring. In the 1950s and 1960s, information collected through monitoring was felt as essential for water management and the budgets for monitoring were rapidly growing. This eventually led to what Ward and others (1986) described as the 'data-rich but information-poor syndrome' and calls are out today for less quantity of information and more targeted, tailor-made, information.

This paper will analyze the developments in Dutch national water quality monitoring. On the basis of this analysis, we will conclude if the water quality monitoring in the Dutch situation can be characterized as wasteful, or if the amount of data that is collected reflects the policy needs.
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Abstract

We conducted an initial, but detailed assessment of the current status of monitoring and assessment programs in the EPA Region V states, with a primary emphasis on biological assessment programs. Specifically, the assessment focused on all relevant uses of monitoring and assessment including status and trends, reporting, and primary water quality management programs (WQS, planning, TMDLs, permitting). The evaluation was based on information gathered during on-site interviews with each state and published information provided by each. This process differs markedly from other contemporary efforts that are based on a questionnaire approach. The extent of program development and implementation resulting from national and regional EPA initiatives (CALM, tiered aquatic life uses, biocriteria) was also evaluated. While all of the states operate active monitoring and assessment efforts, the quality and make-up of the programs between the states varies widely in terms of design, indicators used, extent of derivation and calibration, and the extent to which water quality management programs are directly supported. The assessment of status for reporting (305b) and listing (303d) purposes is a significant, and in some cases the de facto driver of the monitoring and assessment approaches embraced by each state. The recent emphasis on TMDLs and the CALM process by EPA has amplified this issue. However, it was evident that an over-emphasis on this function of monitoring and assessment can deter the ability of States to address emerging issues such as refined uses, use attainability analyses, and improved integration with water quality management programs in general. The guiding principles of this assessment are based on the belief that monitoring and assessment programs should achieve levels of standardization, rigor, reliability, reproducibility, accuracy, comparability, and comprehensiveness that is reasonably attainable within the constraints of available technology and cost-effectiveness. Achieving these depends on the ability and willingness of states to appreciate their relevance to supporting water quality management outcomes and having access to and effectively executing the use of that technology.