

# Methods and Data Comparability for Water Quality Sensors

National Water Quality Monitoring Council  
6<sup>th</sup> National Conference  
May 22, 2008  
Atlantic City, NJ

## Structure

- **ACWI** – Advisory Committee on Water Information
  - **Council** – National Water Quality Monitoring Council
    - **Methods and Data Comparability Board**
    - Water Information Strategies
    - Third work group
- **FACA: Federal Advisory Committee Act**

## Background

Who is the Board?

- EPA and USGS Co-chairs
- USGS Exec Sec
- Water-quality experts from Federal, state, regional, and local agencies, and private industry

## Historical Methods Board Work Groups

- National Environmental Methods Index (NEMI)
- Water Quality Data Elements (WQDE)
- Nutrients
- Performance-based systems
- Bioassessment comparability

# Today's agenda

- Overview (20-30 minutes)
- Breakout groups (30 minutes)
  - Technology
  - QA/QC
  - Field guidance
  - Data management
- Report backs & discussion (30 minutes)

# Why a Sensors Work Group?

- Sensors will make monitoring substantially more useful to management programs
- More monitoring programs are embracing sensors
  - Sensors make faster – often real-time - results possible
- Sensor technology is rapidly evolving

## Why a Sensors Work Group?

- Several Sensors information centers exist
  - Alliance for Coastal Technology
  - Center for Embedded Network Sensing – UCLA
  - Interstate Technology Regulatory Council (ITRC)
- Still: A lack of SOPs –
  - hinders data comparability
  - Data quality degrades, slowing productivity in the field
- The Board sees a need to compare sensing technologies, performance specifications, deployment guidelines, etc.

## Objectives

To convene a work group of experts on sensors to:

- **Develop SOPs for QA/QC:** calibration, maintenance, deployment
- **Central source of sensors information:** allow potential users to make informed decisions on selection and use of sensors for their projects.
- **Recommend Sensors for the "Network" (NMN):** Recommend sensors for freshwater, estuarine, and coastal environments.

# Web resources

<http://wi.water.usgs.gov/methodsboard/>  
(userid and password required)

## **Guidelines and Standard Procedures for Continuous Water-Quality Monitors: Station Operation, Record Computation, and Data Reporting**

(Wagner, R.J., Boulger, R.W., Jr., Oblinger, C.J., and Smith, B.A., 2006)

# Charge to the 4 Groups

- Each group needs a facilitator and a note taker
- What are the data comparability issues in your topic?
- What has already been done?
- Where are the information gaps?
- Make a list of potential products (i.e., web site items, reports, etc.)

## Technology Group

- Identify appropriate water-quality sensor technologies
  - Multi-parameter sondes for monitoring or profiling
  - Hand-held probes for sampling
  - CTDs for monitoring and profiling
  - Custom systems using data loggers/controllers
  - Fluidic analyzers
  - Water samplers
- Enter data into database/modify an existing database
  - select sensor technologies to meet data quality objectives
- Group goal for this meeting:

# Field Applications Group

- Sensor deployment location and installation:  
Where applicable, SOPs or recommendations will be established regarding proper installation of field sensors in order to obtain representative data of the environment or question being investigated
- Where are the optimal field locations for sensors
  - By waterbody type; i.e., Streams, Lakes, Estuaries, Ground water, Coastal areas, Atmosphere
- Goals for this meeting:

## QA/QC Group

- Calibration
- Maintenance
- Diagnostic data collection
- Data quality
- Fouling prevention
- Pre and post deployment checks
- Group goal for this meeting: ID key aspects to insure consistent QA/QC

# Data Management Group

Objectives—to provide information on appropriate:

- metadata standards
- methods of data storage and retrieval
- methods to present data in tables, graphs, and on maps

## Data management issues

- **Metadata:** Data regarding specific sensors and deployments can be recorded within monitoring systems and used for QA/QC procedures as well as sensor troubleshooting and data management. Recommendations for industry should be determine for minimum meta-data requirements in field sensors.
- **Data Management:** Environmental monitoring systems produce vast quantities of data. Recommendations on standards for data management will be produced to allow for improved data exchange and comparisons.
- **Goals for this meeting:**

## Next Steps

- List of potential products
- Workplan
  - Timeline
  - Personnel
  - Tasks
  - Budget(?)
- Items for web-based information clearinghouse
  - The goal of the web site is to be a central location to access SOPs, supporting documentation, related links, discussion groups, etc... relating to sensors.