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Protecting the environment depends on us.
Division of Water and Waste Management
Greenbrier River
Below White Sulphur Springs
• Algae 101
  – Filamentous (vs. *Toxic Blooms*)
  – Increased nutrient concentrations (TP)
  – Other factors involved:
    • Physical conditions (low water, temp)
    • Water chemistry
      – Hardness
      – Alkalinity
Cacapon River
Greenbrier River
~ 1 mile below Caldwell – Howard Creek
June, 2011
Greenbrier River
Below White Sulphur Springs
History (NNC – WV)

• State approach NNC – Watershed Specific vs. Statewide
  • Lakes: Completed (TP/Ch. a)
  • Streams/Rivers:
    • N-STEPS (2008) = ? Relationships
    • Localized issues (Greenbrier)
• Proposed criteria (Greenbrier) & Permit limits
  • 2011 Triennial Review
    • 10 µg/L (30 day/average, May-October)
    • Removed by Legislature (❖)
  • Permit limits
    • 2009 (appealed/EQB)
    • DEP appeal to Circuit Court - Decision 2011(❖)
Marching Orders...

- Appeal (10-AA-132) outlined questions that we (DEP) needed to answer including:

  as “significant,” contained within the state water quality criteria; the WVDEP failed to determine what level of algae growth would be considered detrimental to the designated uses of the River;

  (“How much is too much?”)
“How much is too much?”
Survey/Contractor Procurement

• Out for bid (RFP) - Fall of 2011
  • Responsive Management (RM) - Harrisonburg, VA

• What we wanted?
  • Statewide survey (*complete now vs later*)
  • *Scientifically defensible/limit biases*
  • Contractor with experience in development/implementation of surveys
“How much is too much?”
Survey Development

• DEP/RM developed general approach
• RM submitted specific survey tool/DEP approved:
  • Survey WV residents
  • Utilize phone/internet
  • DEP/RM cooperative effort to increase # of respondents/save on costs
“How much is too much?”
Survey Implementation

• Basics steps:
  1. RM ID’d residents
  2. Call to confirm participation in survey (Y/N)
  3. Y = distribute survey via internet or mailings
  4. RM conduct survey/collect data, etc…
“How much is too much?”
Survey - what was collected?

• 1st piece, population info:
  • Recreation, what types (fish, swim), how often, in what types (river, lake, pond)?
  • When and where…?

(Lesson #1 - Great info for future use/beyond this project)

Types of Questions:
“How much is too much?”
Survey -?’s

- Q22. “…if you personally participated in any of the following activities on or in…”
- Q30. “…types of water bodies did you participate in these activities…”
- Q100. “…anyone if your household currently work as an outfitter or guide…”
“How much is too much?”
Survey - what was collected?

• 2nd piece, algae level info:
  Knowledge of algae levels/impacts in WV
  - Q53. “How much would you say you know about algae in WV waters?”
  - Q54. “…algae in WV waters has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased…”
“How much is too much?”
Survey - what was collected?

- 2\textsuperscript{nd} piece, algae level info:

  Algae tolerance levels

- Utilized photos

  - Respondents determined point when level becomes unacceptable

  (% cover low $\rightarrow$ high)
“How much is too much?”

Survey Results

• Completed February/March 2012
• N = 1001
• Significant response to mailings
  • Surprising result

(Lesson #2 - Stuffing envelopes = worth it)
Q22. I would like to know if you personally participated in any of the following activities on or in West Virginia waters, including rivers and streams, lakes and reservoirs, and ponds, in the past 2 years.

- Walking along a water bank or shore: 79%
- Swimming or wading: 55%
- Fishing: 52%
- Any other type of boating, not including canoeing, kayaking, or rafting: 29%
- Canoeing or kayaking: 16%
- Tubing: 14%
- Operating a personal watercraft: 10%
- Rafting: 10%
- Waterskiing or wakeboarding: 6%
- None of these: 14%

Note: 44% did any boating activity (not simply a sum because respondents can do more than one activity)
Q100. Do you or does anyone in your household currently work as an outfitter or guide on or associated with West Virginia waters?

- Yes: 1.4%
- No: 97.7%
- Don't know: 0.9%
Q30. In which of the following types of water bodies did you participate in these activities in West Virginia? (Asked of those who participated in at least one activity on or in West Virginia waters in the past 2 years.)

- Rivers and streams: 80%
- Lakes and reservoirs: 48%
- Ponds: 22%

Multiple Responses Allowed

Percent (n=833)
Q53. How much would you say you know about algae in West Virginia waters?

- A great deal: 4
- A moderate amount: 17
- A little: 47
- Nothing at all: 31
- Don't know: Less than 1%

Percent (n=1001)
Q87. Have you contacted the DEP about algae in West Virginia waters in the past 2 years?

- Yes: 0.7%
- No: 99.2%
- Don't know: 0.1%

Percent (n=1001)
Q54. Would you say algae in West Virginia waters has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased in the past 2 years?

- Increased: 32%
- Stayed the same: 26%
- Decreased: 8%
- Don’t know: 34%

(Percent n=1001)
Percent Saying Algae Level Is Unacceptable

- 65 percent: 3% saying this level is unacceptable, 90% saying lower level is unacceptable
- 47 percent: 16% saying this level is unacceptable, 87% saying lower level is unacceptable
- 39 percent: 23% saying this level is unacceptable, 71% saying lower level is unacceptable
- 26 percent: 22% saying this level is unacceptable, 49% saying lower level is unacceptable
- 20 percent: 3% saying this level is unacceptable, 27% saying lower level is unacceptable
- 15 percent: 18% saying this level is unacceptable, 24% saying lower level is unacceptable
- 4 percent: 6% saying this level is unacceptable, 6% saying lower level is unacceptable
“How much is too much?”
Utilizing Results…

• Report finalized – posted to WV DEP “Filamentous Algae” webpage

• Assessment

**Impairment = Yes, if:**

1. *Filamentous algae cover is >20% for 3 times the river width or*

2. *If >40% cover at any point (Regardless of length)*

3. **Special considerations** - *if adjacent to public access point/camp/houses/etc… and >20% can be considered impaired (BPJ and/or reported to DEP)*

• **Greenbrier River Restoration Plan - TMDL Alternative 5R***

  **Watershed specific NNC**
“WV DEP Filamentous Algae”
http://www.dep.wv.gov/WWE/Programs/wqs/Pages/FilamentousAlgaeinWestVirginia