


# Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems under the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act

## Guidance for Preparing Groundwater Sustainability Plans



OUR MISSION:

To conserve the lands and water on which all life depends



# SANTA CLARA RIVER





## Groundwater-Dependent Ecosystems:


Ecological communities or species that depend on groundwater emerging from aquifers or on groundwater occurring near the ground surface.



# Depletions of Surface Water

< 5% of Wetlands  
6% habitat along rivers

REMAIN



Source: The Bay Institute, 1998; SFEI-ASC, 2016

# Aquatic Ecosystems

In 50 years, nearly **HALF** of California Native Salmon, Steelhead and Trout will be **Extinct**



# The Sustainable Groundwater Management Act



## Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems

(a beneficial use of groundwater) **are a required element for GSPs**

- identify (map)
- describe potential effects due to groundwater conditions
- monitor impacts due to groundwater conditions

Lowering  
GW Levels



Reduction  
of Storage



Seawater  
Intrusion



Degraded  
Quality



Land  
Subsidence



Surface Water  
Depletion




# GDE TOOLS


## Statewide GDE Indicators Database



## GDE Guidance for GSPs



## Educational Resources




## Data & Research



## Case Studies

[www.GroundwaterResourceHub.org](http://www.GroundwaterResourceHub.org)


# MAPPING GDEs



<https://gis.water.ca.gov/app/NCDatasetViewer/#>



# GDE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT



## DESIGN PRINCIPLES:

1. Consistent with SGMA & GSP Regulations
2. Based on Best Available Science
3. Facilitate Local Control
4. Practical and Easy-To-Use

# GDE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT



# Customizable to Address Local Conditions & Values

BURRITOS, TACOS & SALADS		WHAT GOES INSIDE
BURRITO	CHICKEN 5.95	CILANTRO-LIME RICE
BOWL	STEAK 6.25	BLACK OR PINTO BEANS
TACOS	CARNITAS 6.25	SALSA
SALAD	BARBACOA 6.25	CHEESE OR SOUR CREAM
	VEGETARIAN 5.95	GUACAMOLE (ADD 1.75)



# PRACTICAL RESOURCES



## BOX 5. WHAT YOU NEED

### STATEWIDE DATA

- **Critical Habitat for Threatened and Endangered Species**  
The Environmental Conservation Online System (ECOS) contains spatial data of critical habitat for threatened and endangered species. The ECOS spatial data can be downloaded as shapefiles.  
<http://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/report/table/critical-habitat.html>
- **California Special Status Species**  
The California National Diversity Database (CNDDDB) contains text and spatial information on California's special status species. The CNDDDB spatial data can be downloaded as a shapefile or accessed via the BIOS Data Viewer. Users must have a CNDDDB subscription to access RareFind and CNDDDB spatial data downloads.  
<https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB/Maps-and-Data#43018407-rarefind-5>
- **California Protected Areas**  
The California Protected Areas Data Portal (CPAD) contains spatial information about lands that are protected for open space purposes by more than 1,000 public agencies or non-profit organizations. The CPAD spatial downloadable GIS data contain shapefiles and geodatabases.  
<http://www.calands.org/data>
- **Areas of Conservation Emphasis**  
The Areas of Conservation Emphasis (ACE) Project contains spatial data on native species richness, rarity, endemism, and sensitive habitats for six taxonomic groups: birds, fish, amphibians, plants, mammals, and reptiles. Information on the location of four sensitive habitat types (i.e., wetlands, riparian habitat, rare upland natural communities, and high-value salmonid habitat) are also summarized. The ACE dataset is available statewide at a 2.5-square-mile hexagon grid. The ACE spatial data are available online or downloadable for GIS.  
<https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/Analysis/ACE>

### LOCAL DATA

- **Beneficial Use Designations**  
Regional Water Quality Control Board basin plans contain a list of beneficial uses of surface waters, groundwater, marshes, and wetlands that pertain to water quality objectives. According to the State Water Resources Control Board, "beneficial use designations for any given water body do not rule out the possibility that other beneficial uses exist or have the potential to exist."  
[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/plans\\_policies/#plans](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/plans_policies/#plans)
- **Local Plans or Studies**  
Local plans or studies (e.g., habitat conservation plans, conservation plans, wildlife corridor plans, ecological and biological assessment studies, natural resource management plans developed for specific areas) often contain descriptions and assessments of the species and habitat for specific areas.

# PRACTICAL RESOURCES

- Takes advantage of local and statewide information to inform local decision making
- Summary of relevant science
- Worksheets

# PRACTICAL RESOURCES

## APPENDIX IV: GDE ASSESSMENT TOOLBOX

This table provides a summary of the methods and approaches used in Australia to identify GDEs and determine their reliance on groundwater (modified from Richardson et al. 2011). Citations for case study examples and key references related to the assessment tools below can be found in Richardson et al. (2011).

Assessment Tool	Description	Data Sources/Methods	Pros	Cons																		
Landscape Mapping	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Location and identification of ecosystems that are potentially groundwater dependent based on biophysical parameters (i.e., depth to water table, soil type, vegetation type)</li> <li>Assessment of primary productivity, water relations, and/or condition of vegetation communities using remote sensing images to infer use of groundwater</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Native vegetation cover, wetlands, and drainage maps</li> <li>Vegetation composition</li> <li>Root depth</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides a map/list of potential GDE areas</li> <li>Utilizes available local, state, federal, and worldwide datasets</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Analysis needs to be repeated over time since data offers one time slice</li> <li>Some components rely on prior knowledge or datasets</li> </ul>																		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Geol. struc.</li> <li>Groundwater table</li> <li>Land</li> <li>Soil t</li> <li>Vegetation</li> <li>Leaf</li> </ul>	<p><b>TABLE 2. Examples of measurable thresholds and objectives for GDEs under water management regimes outside SGMA.</b>            Note: The thresholds listed here were compiled from published scientific literature or from water management standards and are provided as examples only. GDE thresholds are location specific and will vary based on differences in species composition, soil type, local climate, and hydrologic regime, among other factors.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Measurable Thresholds and Objectives</th> <th>Observed Biological Change or Rationale</th> <th>Location (Reference)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: center;"><b>GROUNDWATER LEVELS</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Depth to water of 2 m for grasslands and 4 m for shrub</td> <td>Maintain groundwater levels to support terrestrial vegetation based on maximum effective depth of rooting and confirmed by soil water and annual vegetation conditions.</td> <td>Inyo County, California (Inyo County and City of Los Angeles 1990)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>75th percentile of maximum depth to water table</td> <td>Based on quantitative relationships between the position of the water table and wetland indicator plant species. A maximum depth to water table of 0.9–34.8 cm for fen plants and 16.6–32.2 cm for peat accretion can be tolerated in these wetlands.</td> <td>Fremont-Winema National Forest, Oregon (Aldous &amp; Bach 2014)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average decline in groundwater levels must not exceed 30 feet over the next 50 years</td> <td>Limit the decline in groundwater elevation to provide for sustainable yield.</td> <td>Dockum Aquifer, Texas (TWDB 2016)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: center;"><b>INTERCONNECTED SURFACE WATER</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Water level decline at the GDE level not to exceed 0.05 m/year</td> <td>Groundwater flows will no longer support functioning wetlands due to chronic lowering of groundwater levels.</td> <td>Tindall Limestone Aquifer, Katherine, Australia (Christian-Smith &amp; Abhold 2015)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Measurable Thresholds and Objectives	Observed Biological Change or Rationale	Location (Reference)	<b>GROUNDWATER LEVELS</b>			Depth to water of 2 m for grasslands and 4 m for shrub	Maintain groundwater levels to support terrestrial vegetation based on maximum effective depth of rooting and confirmed by soil water and annual vegetation conditions.	Inyo County, California (Inyo County and City of Los Angeles 1990)	75th percentile of maximum depth to water table	Based on quantitative relationships between the position of the water table and wetland indicator plant species. A maximum depth to water table of 0.9–34.8 cm for fen plants and 16.6–32.2 cm for peat accretion can be tolerated in these wetlands.	Fremont-Winema National Forest, Oregon (Aldous & Bach 2014)	Average decline in groundwater levels must not exceed 30 feet over the next 50 years	Limit the decline in groundwater elevation to provide for sustainable yield.	Dockum Aquifer, Texas (TWDB 2016)	<b>INTERCONNECTED SURFACE WATER</b>			Water level decline at the GDE level not to exceed 0.05 m/year
Measurable Thresholds and Objectives	Observed Biological Change or Rationale	Location (Reference)																				
<b>GROUNDWATER LEVELS</b>																						
Depth to water of 2 m for grasslands and 4 m for shrub	Maintain groundwater levels to support terrestrial vegetation based on maximum effective depth of rooting and confirmed by soil water and annual vegetation conditions.	Inyo County, California (Inyo County and City of Los Angeles 1990)																				
75th percentile of maximum depth to water table	Based on quantitative relationships between the position of the water table and wetland indicator plant species. A maximum depth to water table of 0.9–34.8 cm for fen plants and 16.6–32.2 cm for peat accretion can be tolerated in these wetlands.	Fremont-Winema National Forest, Oregon (Aldous & Bach 2014)																				
Average decline in groundwater levels must not exceed 30 feet over the next 50 years	Limit the decline in groundwater elevation to provide for sustainable yield.	Dockum Aquifer, Texas (TWDB 2016)																				
<b>INTERCONNECTED SURFACE WATER</b>																						
Water level decline at the GDE level not to exceed 0.05 m/year	Groundwater flows will no longer support functioning wetlands due to chronic lowering of groundwater levels.	Tindall Limestone Aquifer, Katherine, Australia (Christian-Smith & Abhold 2015)																				
Conceptual Modeling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Documentation of a conceptual understanding of the location of GDEs and interaction between ecosystems and groundwater</li> <li>Qualitatively links hydrologic, soil, and climate processes to GDE elements and processes</li> <li>Clarifies the relationships and interactions between hydrology and ecology</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hydrobiogeochemistry</li> <li>Climate</li> <li>Geology</li> <li>Land</li> <li>Topography/elevation</li> <li>Genetics</li> <li>Vegetation</li> <li>Proxies</li> <li>Science</li> <li>AB 31 Maps</li> </ul>																				

# PRACTICAL RESOURCES

- Takes advantage of local and statewide information to inform local decision making
- Summary of relevant science
- Worksheets

# WORKSHEETS

## WORKSHEET 1. ASSESS A CONNECTION TO GROUNDWATER



Use the following questions to assess whether iGDE polygons are connected to groundwater.

Yes No Insufficient Data

### GENERAL QUESTIONS FOR ALL GDE TYPES

Is the iGDE underlain by a shallow unconfined or perched aquifer that has been delineated as being part of a Bulletin 118 principal aquifer in the basin?			
Is the depth to groundwater under the iGDE less than 30 feet?			
Is the iGDE located in an area known to discharge groundwater (e.g., springs/seeps)?			

If you answer **Yes** to any of the above questions, then you likely have a GDE. Stop here.  
If you selected **No** or **Insufficient Data** or cannot confidently answer any of the above questions, then answer the following questions to infer groundwater dependency.

### RIVERS, STREAMS, AND ESTUARIES

Is the iGDE located in a portion of a river or stream that is likely a gaining reach?			
Are water temperatures around the iGDE relatively constant over time, indicating a potential for gaining conditions?			
Are there stable/permanent natural flows detected by stream gauges near the iGDE, indicating a potential for gaining conditions?			
Is there water or flows around the iGDE during summer months?			
For iGDEs near estuaries, does the salinity drop below that of seawater in the absence of surface water inputs (e.g., surface runoff or stormwater)?			
Are the isohaline contour lines of the saline wedge relatively constant under an iGDE?			

### WETLANDS

Is the level of water around the iGDE maintained during extended dry periods without surface water inflow or management?			
--	--	--	--

## WORKSHEET 3. POTENTIAL EFFECTS ON GDE SUMMARY



GDE Unit ID: \_\_\_\_\_

**Ecological Value (Step 1.2)**—Check the one that applies  High  Moderate  Low  Insufficient Data/Not Applicable

**Susceptibility to Changing Groundwater Conditions (Step 2.1)**—Check the one that applies

High  Moderate  Low  Insufficient Data/Not Applicable


Corresponding Sustainability Indicator	Groundwater Levels 	Groundwater Storage 	Seawater Intrusion 	Water Quality 	Land Subsidence 	Interconnected Surface Water 
Hydrologic Data (Step 2.1)						
Baseline Average (Step 2.1)						
Baseline Range (Step 2.1)						
Biological Data (Step 2.2)						
Description of Adverse Impacts to GDE (Step 2.3)						

# GDE TOOLS

## Statewide GDE Indicators Database




## GDE Guidance for GSPs



## Educational Resources



## Data & Research



## Case Studies

[www.GroundwaterResourceHub.org](http://www.GroundwaterResourceHub.org)

# Thank You!

[Melissa.Rohde@tnc.org](mailto:Melissa.Rohde@tnc.org)

